

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/883,740	06/18/2001	John G. McDonough	TI-31695	1761
	09/883,740 06/18/2001 John G. McDonough TI-3169.  23494 7590 10/19/2007  TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999  DALLAS, TX 75265  ART UNI  2611	EXAMINER		
		DSOUZA, JOSE	ZA, JOSEPH FRANCIS A	
DALLAS, IX	/3203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com uspto@dlemail.itg.ti.com

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/883,740	MCDONOUGH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Adolf DSouza	2611			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mai- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/2	/2007				
· <u></u>		is action is non-final.				
·=	, <del>_</del>		s, prosecution as to the merits is			
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
<b>.</b>	·					
Dispositi	on of Claims	•				
	Claim(s) <u>2,4-15 and 17-49</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>6-15,17-44 and 49</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2,4,5,45 and 47</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>46,48,50</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers		, .			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12\[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	on priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
۵),	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
•						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	nt(s)		·			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) .Other:	rmal Patent Application			
1	· ·	·				

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/2/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

<u>Argument</u>: Applicant has argued that Storm discloses that at the end of the sleep interval, the "reference timer expires substantially synchronized" and that the "local timing is precisely aligned with the system timing" (Remarks 8/2/2007; page 13) and therefore there is no need to combine Storm with Yu.

Response: Examiner respectfully disagrees. As indicated in the last Office Action (4/10/2007; page 2, paragraph 2), Storm indicates that after coming out of sleep mode, the timing isn't accurate enough ad it needs to be reacquired (Fig. 3B, element 344; column 1, lines 39-41, 54-59). Applicant stated that what is stated in column 1, refers to the "Background of the Invention and prior practices" (remarks, page 13, lines 6). If Applicant interprets what is stated in column 1 as prior practice and not relevant to Storm's invention, Examiner still contends that adjusting the timing after coming out of sleep mode is still prior art. Further Fig. 3B, element 344 referred to, where Storm adjusts the timing after coming out of sleep mode, is clearly Storm's invention and is not any other art. Applicant states that element 344 is referred to "only once" (Remarks, page 13, line 8). Storm doesn't have to disclose it several times for it to be considered part of Storm's invention; just once is enough.

<u>Argument</u>: Regarding claim 47, Applicant has argued that IIR filters are difficult to implement.

Response: Examiner respectfully disagrees. One of ordinary skill in the art knows how to easily implement IIR filters, taking into account word length effects. Also, taking into consideration the argument presented above, Examiner maintains his rejection on claim 47.

2. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks (page 14), filed 8/2/2007 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 45 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Hulsing et al (US 5,097,490).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 4, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Storm et al. (US 6,016,312) in view of Yu et al. (US 6,735,454).

Application/Control Number: 09/883,740

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 2, Storm et al. discloses in direct sequence spread spectrum (DSSS) communications, a method for recovering system timing, the method comprising (col. 1, lines 39-41, col. 3, lines 13-14, 25-26):

disabling a reference clock during a sleep interval (col. 5, lines 50-53, col. 7, lines 63-67, col. 8, lines 56-61);

following the sleep interval, enabling the reference clock (col. 5, lines 11-25, col. 6, lines 42-47, col. 7, lines 11-13, col. 9, lines 27-33);

modifying the system timing by a ratio, where the ratio is the reference clock frequency divided by the sleep clock frequency (col. 6, lines 1-6);

measuring a reacquisition error and wherein calculating the ratio includes calculating the ratio in response to the reacquisition error (col. 1, lines 51-59, col. 8, lines 33-35, col. 9, lines 52-58).

Storm does not disclose wherein the sleep clock frequency is adjusted for frequency drift.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Yu discloses wherein the sleep clock frequency is adjusted for frequency drift (abstract, col. 6, lines 22-26).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Storm et al. to incorporate wherein the sleep clock frequency is adjusted for frequency drift in

order to compensate for the initial and final offsets to re-activate the high frequency clock to be re-activated based upon fractional portions of the low frequency clock (Yu et al., col. 4, lines 16-21).

Regarding claim 4, Storm discloses prior to disabling the reference clock, determining the number of sleep clock periods in the sleep interval; and wherein disabling reference clock during the sleep interval includes disabling the reference clock for the determined number of sleep clock periods (col. 7, lines 11-13, 40-45, 63-67, col. 8, line 1).

All other limitations of claim 4 are as analyzed in claim 2 above.

Regarding claim 5, Storm discloses determining the number of sleep clock periods in the sleep interval includes determining the number of sleep clock periods using the ratio (col. 6, lines 30-52, col. 8, lines 32-35).

1. Claims 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Storm et al. (US 6,016,312) in view of Hulsing (US 5,097,490).

Regarding claim 45, Storm in direct sequence spread spectrum (DSSS) communications, a method for recovering system timing, the method comprising (col. 1, lines 39-41, col. 3, lines 13-14, 25-26):

Application/Control Number: 09/883,740

Art Unit: 2611

disabling a reference clock during a sleep interval (col. 5, lines 50-53, col. 7, lines 63-67, col. 8, lines 56-61);

following the sleep interval, enabling the reference clock (col. 5, lines 11-25, col. 6, lines 42-47, col. 7, lines 11-13, col. 9, lines 27-33);

modifying the system timing by a ratio, where the ratio is a frequency of the reference clock divided by the frequency of a sleep clock (col. 6, lines 1-6).

Storm does not disclose that the frequency of the reference clock is obtained from the rising and falling edges of the reference clock.

Hulsing discloses the frequency of the clock is based upon an average of the number of rising and falling edges of the reference clock (column 1, lines 18 - 21, 28 - 34; column 4, lines 11 - 22; column 5, line 57 - column 6, line 13).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Hulsing, in the system of Storm because this would allow the frequency of the clock signal to be determined.

5. Claims 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Storm et al. (US 6,016,312) in view of Yu et al. (US 6,735,454) and further in view of Chung et al. (US 5,642,377).

Application/Control Number: 09/883,740

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 47, Storm discloses in a direct sequence spread spectrum (DSSS) communications, a method for recovering system timing, the method comprising (col. 1, lines 39-41, col. 3, lines 13-14, 25-26):

disabling a reference clock during a sleep interval (col. 5, lines 50-53, col. 7, lines 63-67, col. 8, lines 56-61);

following the sleep interval, enabling the reference clock (col. 5, lines 11-25, col. 6, lines 42-47, col. 7, lines 11-13, col. 9, lines 27-33);

and modifying the system timing by a ratio, where the ratio is the reference clock frequency divided by a sleep clock frequency (col. 6, lines 1-6).

Storm does not disclose the ratio is adjusted for frequency drift and that the ratio is smoothened out using an IIR filter.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Yu discloses wherein the sleep clock frequency is adjusted for frequency drift (abstract, col. 6, lines 22-26).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Storm et al. to incorporate wherein the sleep clock frequency is adjusted for frequency drift in order to compensate for the initial and final offsets to re-activate the high frequency clock to be re-activated based upon fractional portions of the low frequency clock (Yu et al., col. 4, lines 16-21).

In the same field of endeavor, however, Chung discloses applying an IIR filter to a current and a previous value of the ratio, whereby an error in the ratio is smoothed out (Fig. 6, element 22; column 8, lines 28 – 30. Chung discloses noise is smoothened out but of ordinary skill in the art knows that an IIR lowpass filter can be used to smoothen out any signal, including the ratio values).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Chung, in the system of Storm because this would allow the ratio to be averaged out, thereby reducing high frequency fluctuations in the ratio.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 6 – 15, 17 – 44, 49 are allowed.
- Claims 46, 48 and 50 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base 7. claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Other Prior Art Cited

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the 8. applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to sleep mode in mobile receivers:

Roberts et al. (US 6,212,398) discloses u Wireless telephone that rapidly reacquires a timing reference from a wireless network after a sleep mode.

Koenck et al. (US 6,014,705) discloses a modular portable data processing terminal having a higher layer and lower layer partitioned communication protocol stack for use in a radio frequency communications network.

#### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adolf DSouza whose telephone number is 571-272 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Payne can be reached on 571-272-3024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Adolf DSouza Examiner Art Unit 2611

AD

DAVID C. PAYNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER